

ESUBJECTS RUBRIC FOR AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL WRITING

No Paper Turned In: 0 Points

	Exceptional 6	Good 5	Adequate 4	Limited 3	Insufficient 2	No Evidence of Mastery 1	Student Grade
Voice and Style	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Genuine/authentic ~ Attitude toward incident clear ~ Varied sentence structure ~ Hooks reader and holds interest ~ Appropriate word choice ~ Takes risks successfully 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Genuine/authentic ~ Attitude toward incident clear ~ Varied sentence structure ~ Hooks reader and holds interest ~ Appropriate word choice ~ May take risks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Genuine/authentic ~ Attitude toward incident fairly clear ~ Minimal variety of sentence structure ~ Hooks reader – interest level may vary throughout ~ Occasional questionable word choice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Sounds less genuine/authentic ~ Attitude toward incident unclear ~ Limited variety of sentence structure ~ Fails to either hook reader or hold interest ~ Regular questionable word choice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Does not sound genuine/authentic ~ Attitude unclear ~ No variety of sentence structure ~ Fails to hook reader and hold interest ~ Frequent questionable word choice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Does not sound genuine/authentic ~ Attitude unidentifiable ~ No variety of sentence structure ~ Fails to hook reader and hold interest ~ Poor word choice 	
Presentation of Incident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Central incident presented clearly and coherently ~ Uses a variety of narrative strategies and devices with sophistication and control ~ Incident related to assigned topic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Central incident presented clearly and coherently ~ Uses a variety of narrative strategies and devices with control ~ Incident related to assigned topic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Central incident presented clearly and coherently ~ Uses several narrative strategies and devices ~ Incident related to assigned topic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Central incident presented fairly clearly ~ Uses at least one narrative strategy or device ~ Incident related to assigned topic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Central incident somewhat difficult to follow ~ Does not use narrative strategies and devices ~ Incident not clearly related to assigned topic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Central incident impossible to identify ~ Uses no narrative strategies or devices ~ Incident in no way related to assigned topic 	
Context	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provides adequate orientation and background information ~ Places incident in context clearly and justifies choice of incident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provides adequate orientation and background information ~ Places incident in context and justifies choice of incident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provides necessary orientation and background information ~ Places incident in context and justifies choice of incident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provides limited orientation and background information ~ Places incident in context ~ Choice of incident not justified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provides insufficient orientation and background information ~ Context for incident unclear ~ Choice of incident not justified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Does not provide orientation or background information ~ Does not place incident in context ~ Choice of incident not justified 	
Significance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Implies or states significance of central incident ~ Provides sufficient evidence to relate significance to incident ~ Significance valid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Implies or states significance of central incident ~ Provides sufficient evidence to relate significance to incident ~ Significance valid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Implies or States significance of central incident ~ Provides necessary evidence to relate significance to incident ~ Significance valid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Implies significance of central incident ~ Provides limited evidence to relate significance to incident ~ Significance valid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Implies significance of central incident ~ Provides insufficient evidence to relate significance to incident ~ Validity of significance not obvious 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Significance of central incident not stated or identifiable 	
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Clear introduction ~ Chronology easy to follow ~ Provides transitions ~ Guides reader through accurate use of “road sign” words ~ Strong conclusion providing closure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Clear introduction ~ Chronology easy to follow ~ Provides transitions ~ Guides reader through use of “road sign” words ~ Clear conclusion providing closure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Fairly clear introduction ~ Chronology fairly easy to follow ~ Occasional use of transitions ~ Conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Introduction ~ Chronology unclear at some points ~ Process unclear ~ Infrequent use or misleading use of transitions ~ Conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Introduction and/or conclusion missing ~ Chronology unclear at many points ~ No use of transitions ~ No conclusion or poor conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Introduction and conclusion missing ~ Chronology unclear throughout ~ No use of transitions 	